

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
8

9 BRANDON KALE HARRIS,

10 Petitioner,

2:16-cv-01305-JAD-CWH

11 vs.

ORDER

12 BRIAN E. WILLIAMS, *et al.*,

13 Respondents.

ECF # 8

14 _____ /
15
16 In this habeas corpus action, the petitioner, Brandon Kale Harris, was due to file an amended
17 habeas petition by October 12, 2016. *See* Order entered July 13, 2016 (ECF No. 7).

18 On October 11, 2016, Harris filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 8), requesting a
19 75-day extension, to December 26, 2016, for his amended petition. Harris's counsel states that the
20 extension of time is necessary to investigate this case, meet with the petitioner, and draft an amended
21 petition. Respondents do not oppose the motion for extension of time. The Court finds that Harris's
22 motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that
23 there is good cause for the extension of time Harris requests.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

Dated this 24th day of October, 2016.

2